RIC 5 – Interstate Interoperability

- Minnesota 800 MHz statewide system.
- South Dakota VHF statewide system.
 - New build-out beginning and scheduled for completion around 2023-2025. They are sticking with VHF
- Nebraska VHF statewide system.
- Missouri VHF and 700 MHz statewide system.
- Illinois 800 MHz
- Iowa 700 MHz statewide system (ISICS).
- There are likely current county-to-county agreements that may not cover all communications needs if more than two agencies are involved.
- Cannot expect all agencies to have multiband radios or having all channels/talk groups programmed in to subscriber units.
- South Dakota and Minnesota have dispatch ready to patch the two statewide systems together assuming that there is overlapping coverage in the neighboring state.

Sample use cases:

- Car chase that:
 - Starts in one state, moves to another and/or spans multiple counties.
 - Possible desire for county or area that chase is occurring to be run by the local dispatch center—May not be protocol everywhere since SOPs change.
 - Would be ideal to ensure that reason for chase is shared with others
 - In the past our agencies may not have had frequencies.
 - Point to point or phone calls.
 - Potential for sharing of talk groups or patching of systems.
 - If talk groups are shared, regional talk groups would be the best path.
 - Starts in one state, and moves in and out of a neighboring state.
 - Much of the points in the previous chase scenario apply here.
- HAZMAT scenarios that:
 - Are near a state border.
 - ICS enacted for this.
 - May branch off into Iowa and other state command, but they could report to the same commander.
 - Local agreements may take precedent depending on scale.
 - HAZMAT scenarios that are ten miles or more into a neighboring state.
 - Have outside state regional groups programmed in if they are out of range of an lowa network.
 - Avoid local channels if possible to avoid confusion with dispatch centers.
 - Non-federal interop channels—VFIRE, 7 Fire, 8 Fire
 - Patching to statewide network would be desirable here.
 - Hesitation towards FirstNet for now.
 - Concerns about realistic coverage.
 - It is the unknown at this point.
- Water rescues outside of a metropolitan area
 - Responders lose sense of direction.

- Need landmarks that are readily available so they can be relayed to responders to instill a sense of location.
- Don't necessarily know what county they are in or who to transfer things to.
 - No signage for markers.
- Having one channel/talk group that everyone can use has been a challenge in the past in some instances.
 - Specified talk group is beneficial if possible.
- Patching of statewide systems is a good thing
 - Ensuring that everyone has the appropriate talk groups/channels is key regardless of which LMR system is being used.
- o Prolonged rescue/recovery event may warrant ICS.
- Short and long-duration flooding.
 - o ICS is needed especially with long-duration flooding.
 - o If outside entities come in, interoperable talk groups/channels are beneficial.
 - Could be business as usual in many cases.
- Severe weather reporting to the National Weather Service.
 - LMR has been used to relay reports in the past—MCRON
 - o NWS Chat is used often.
 - Some reports from here are relayed out via whatever bands are available.
 - o Teletype may be enough in many instances.
 - o LMR may be difficult to execute due to ensuring people use it properly.